PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From	i the RNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY		
To:					PCT
	see form	DCT484 <i>D</i> 20		WRIT	TEN OPINION OF THE
	See iomi	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
				(F	PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of malling	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
				(dayxnormbyear) se	e idilit PC INSM210 (second sheet)
, ,	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER A	·
Inter	national application	 No	International filing date (.ldav/month/vear)	Priority date (day/month/year)
_	TÆP2004/00209		. 03.03.2004	,	07.04.2003
			both national classification	and IPC	
	1B28/00, C04B24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		allo IFO	
App	licant				
		RESEARCH &	TECHNOLOGY GMB	H	
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items:	
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the or	pinion		
	図 Box No. II	Priority			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	f invention		
	⊠ Box No. V		tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations		novelty, inventive step or industrial ement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	olication	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	written opinion o	f the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule	al Preliminary Examining ity other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA"). For beithe IPEA and the	usually be considered to be a lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority
	submit to the IPE	EA a written repleted a written repleted to the detection of malling	ly together, where appro	priate, with amendme	PEA, the applicant is invited to ints, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further option	ns, see Form P(CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detail	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
				Authorized Officer	
I MBU	ne and mailing addre	55 UI UIU IOM.		L Vaniousan Omea	and Prime.



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Pollio, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8314



10/551333 JC09 Rec'd PCT/PTO 29 SEP 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002099

	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	Witl the	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in anguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe of material:
	[a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rmat of material:
		I in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tii	ne of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
	[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	rional comments:

В	ox No. II	Priority			
. 🛛	The fol	lowing document h	nas not bee	n furnished	j:
	\boxtimes	copy of the earlie	r application	n whose pr	iority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the	earlier appl	ication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consec	quently it has not beless been estable	een possib	le to consi e assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
. 🗆	has be	oinion has been es en found invalid (F ate indicated above	lules 43bis.	1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
. Ac	dditional d	bservations, if nec	essary:		
. Ac	dditional c	bservations, if nec	essary:		
Bo	ox No. V	Reasoned state	ement und	er Rule 43 xplanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or a supporting such statement
Bo	ox No. V	Reasoned state	ement und	er Rule 43 xplanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
Bo ind	ox No. V dustrial a	Reasoned state	ement unde	er Rule 43 xplanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement
Bo ind	ox No. V dustrial a atement	Reasoned state	ement under tions and e Yes: No:	xplanatio	ns supporting such statement

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 199713 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A26, AN 1997-140718 XP002281197 & JP 09 020540 A (SHINETSU CHEM IND CO LTD), 21 January 1997 (1997-01-21)
- D2: ZHUKOV M | ET AL: 'Polymer-mineral paste for strengthening ceramic tiles' CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS + INDEXES, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. COLUMBUS, US, vol. 96, no. 6, 8 February 1982 (1982-02-08), page 309 XP000060847 ISSN: 0009-2258

Novelty

- 1.1) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 1.2) The document **D1** discloses (see Abstract) shrinkage reducing additive for cementitious composition consisting of a polyoxyalkylene modified organopolysiloxane and compositions comprising the shrinkage reducing additive.

 The shrinkage reducing additives with the limitations of claims 2-5 of the present application appears to be disclosed in claim 3 of JP 09 020540.

 The subject matter of claims 1-5,7 is therefore regarded as not being novel over D1.
- 1.3) The document **D2** discloses (see Abstract) shrinkage reducing additive for cementitious composition consisting of organopolysiloxane and composition comprising the shrinkage reducing additive. The composition comprises also finely divided silica (sand).

The subject matter of claims 1,2,6-8 is therefore regarded as not being novel over D2.

Inventive Step

2.1) It is remarked that even if some particular compounds disclosed in claims 2-5

PCT/EP04/02099

presently on file may not be disclosed in D1, in the absence of a technical and/or surprising and/or unexpected effect and in view of the prior art, their selection is not regarded as involving an inventive step.

- 3) It is not at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new, allowable claim. Should the applicant nevertheless regard some particular matter as patentable, an independent claim should be filed. The applicant should also indicate in the letter of reply the difference of the subject-matter of the new claim vis-à-vis the state of the art and the significance thereof.
- 4) In order to facilitate the examination of the conformity of the amended application with the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT, the applicant is requested to clearly identify the amendments carried out, no matter whether they concern amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to indicate the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based (see also Rule 66.8(a) PCT). If the applicant regards it as appropriate these indications could be submitted in handwritten form on a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed.